

586

APOLLO 17
COMBINED 10 DAY COINCIDENCE ANALYSIS DATA
72-096C-09A

APOLLO 17 LM/ASLEP

COMB. 10 DAY COIN. ANA. DATA, TAPE 72-096C-09A

This data set has been restored. There was originally one
7-track, 800 BPI tape written in Binary. There is one restored tape.
The DR tape is a 3480 cartridge and the DS tape is 9-track, 6250 BPI.
The original tape was created on a 1108 computer and the restored tape was created on an IBM 9021 computer. The DR and DS numbers along with the corresponding D number are as follows:

DR#	DS#	D#	FILES
DR005906	DS005906	D031943	1 - 32

REQ. AGENT

REQ. NO.

ACQ. AGENT

CMP

LSM

RD0813

RWV

APOLLO 17

COMBINED 10 DAY COINCIDENCE ANALYSIS DATA

72-096C-09A

THIS DATA SET CATALOG CONSISTS OF 1 TAPE. THE TAPE IS 9 TRACK, 800 BPI, BINARY WITH 32 FILES OF DATA. THE TAPE WAS CREATED ON AN UNIVAC 1108 COMPUTER. THE DATA CONTAINS NO TIME SPANS. FOR FURTHER DOCUMENTATION SEE DOCUMENT B#34870-000A. BELOW ARE THE D AND C NUMBERS:

<u>D#</u>

<u>C#</u>

D-31943 C-23103

UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND COLLEGE PARK, MARYLAND 20742

JEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS AND ASTRONOMY 301-454-3401

Division of Mathematical and Physical Sciences and Engineering

August 1, 1978

National Space Science Data Center Goddard Space Flight Center National Aeronautics and Space Administration Greenbelt, Maryland 20771

Attn: Mr. Ralph Post, Code 601

Gentlemen:

Under separate cover we have sent you our tape #P1025. This tape contains the source tables used to produce the histograms in Appendix B and Appendix C in my technical report The Lunar Surface Gravimeter and the Search for Gravitational Radiation (University of Maryland, Department of Physics and Astronomy Technical Report #78-086) to be published. This publication is already available in the form of my Ph.D. dissertation submitted in May 1978, from University microfilms. There are two sets of coincidence delays; one for Argonne vs. LSG and the other for Maryland vs. LSG. For each star level, a table gives the number of coincidences for each delay time for positive and negative delays as described on page 41 and on pages 37-39 of the mentioned work.

This data is provided for further statistical analysis that researchers may wish to perform on the histograms.

Sincerely yours,

Russell L. Tobias Research Assistant

Rusell S. Solas

RT:mm

+ 32

ABSTRACT

Title of Dissertation:

Lunar Surface Gravimeter and the Search for Gravitational Radiation

Russell L. Tobias, Doctor of Philosophy, 1978

Dissertation directed by:

Joseph Weber, Ph.D., Professor Department of Physics and Astronomy

Abstract: A search for gravitational radiation predicted by Einstein's general theory of relativity was made, using the Moon as an instrumented antenna. Data were analyzed from the Lunar Surface Gravimeter Experiment (LSG), part of the Apollo Lunar Surface Experiments Package (ALSEP) deployed on the Moon. It was a component of the United States of America's Apollo 17 manned space flight mission in December, 1972. The LSG can observe accelerations of the lunar surface in the frequency range from approximately 0 to 16 hertz with a nominal sensitivity of approximately a few parts in 109 of lunar gravity. A secondary objective of the LSG was to measure the tidal effects on the Moon and to serve as a one axis seismometer.

A calculation of the sensitivity of gravitational radiation detectors enables computation of upper limits of the incident flux for the frequency regions searched. These included the millihertz region, where a search for excitation of the fundamental free modes of the Moon established an upper limit of 1.4 x 10^{13} ergs/(cm² - sec) for continuous gravitational radiation; and the 1 hertz region, where an exploration of higher order free mode excitations set a maximum flux of 5.7×10^{12} ergs/(cm² - sec).

Also described is an experiment to search for pulsed radiation with frequency components in the 1 hertz region. Seismic data from the LSG is converted into a form suitable for performing a coincidence analysis with two of the gravitational radiation detectors at Argonne National Laboratory near Chicago, and the University of Maryland in College Park, Maryland. Continuous lunar seismic data in the time period December 15-25, 1973 was converted into the format of the absolute value of the time derivative of the power. It was then processed using existing programs in coincidence with the square of the derivative of the power data from the terrestial detectors. The upper limit on the gravitational radiation energy flux for a pulse was computed to be 3.4 x 10¹² ergs/cm².

A large number of lunar seismic events were observed, with a sensitivity of a few Angstroms displacement in the .1 to 10 hertz region, and of about .01 Angstroms in a narrow band near 1.5 hertz.

No evidence of excitation of the lunar free modes of oscillation or of pulsar radiation was obtained, nor was any useful tidal information acquired. In the coincidence analysis, correlations were noted for displacements occurring on the Moon's surface 5.9 and 6.2 seconds after displacements occurring on the detector at Argonne. The correlation between the displacements is calculated to be as high as 4.43 times the calculated standard deviation of the correlation. The probability of the correlations being of statistical origin is in the order of 2.5 percent, indicating the need for further study. Similar results are not obtained with the Maryland detector.

Included is a brief introduction to the basic theory of gravitational radiation, prospective sources, and methods of detection.

Details of the construction and chronology of the LSG are described, along with the algorithms for data processing. A history of the use of the Earth and the Moon as detectors of gravitational radiation is recounted, along with a review of the relevant literature. Comparisons are made between the Earth and the Moon as gravitational radiation detectors.

CHRONOLOGY OF THE EXPERIMENT

The LSG experiment was deployed on December 12, 1972 by the Apollo 17 astronauts. The set-up procedure was to null the sensor beam by adding weights by means of a caging mechanism. However, even with both of the available masses added to the sensor beam assembly, it was not possible to balance it in the proper equilibrium position. The only time the beam moved was when the caging mechanism was in physical contact with it.

To determine if the movement of the beam was being obstructed, the Lunar Module Pilot rapped the exposed top plate on the gimbal; rocked the apparatus in several directions, releveled the instrument; and rechecked the tilt of the sunshade in an attempt to free the sensor beam. However, none of these actions produced any change in the operation of the instrument.

It was then determined that an error in arithmetic made by La Coste and Romberg, the manufacturer of the sensor beam, had not been corrected by the firm. This led to an instrument which had excellent performance in earth g and was just barely outside of the tolerances for variations of lunar site g. This error resulted in the mass of the counterweights being about two percent less than was necessary for operation in the Moon's 1/6-g gravitational field. Unfortunately, the procedure of adding the weights allowed only for up to plus or minus 1.5 percent for possible inaccuracies.

S. C.

4.5.5

.

Therefore, it was necessary to balance the beam using a very small force applied by the mass adding mechanism. However, this changed the frequency response of the sensor to a significantly higher frequency than that originally intended. However, the balanced beam system had a much higher quality factor—about 25—instead of being critically damped. This led to much greater

sensitivity than the intended design near the resonance and poor sensitivity at very low frequencies. The system was left in open loop (integrator shorted) mode.

1

10 H 65

X

After 45 days, no seismic signal was detected, and it was found that the sensor had deviated sufficiently from its proper equilibrium position to saturate the final amplifier. The beam was again centered, and the output observed during a terminator crossing (lunar sunrise or sunset), when rapidly changing temperatures would be expected to produce enough stresses on the Lunar surface to produce detectable seismic activity. Comparison with the Lunar Seismic Profile Experiment (LPSE) verified that the LSG was indeed detecting information from local seismic activity.

On April 19, 1973, the natural resonant frequency was successfully lowered to approximately 2.2 hz, with a displacement sensitivity of 3.5 angstroms. The experiment was left in open loop to obtain some long term results before further experiments were attempted.

On September 26, the experiment was configured for the first time in closed loop operation, in order to detect tidal data. This also reduced the possibility of saturation, so the seismic gain was again returned to maximum. The spring constant and the beam assembly frequency response were measured.

In an attempt to further reduce the instrument's resonant frequency, another reconfiguration was performed on November 30 to better center the sensor beam with the mass caging mechanism. As a result, the natural frequency was lowered to approximately 1.5 hz, and there was noticeable improvement in the free mode channel response. The tidal output

was following its predicted pattern with an unexplained distortion in the high frequency region. Unfortunately, the tidal signal later began to show a constant reading at its minimum value, indicating a hardware failure in the tidal channel. No explanation could be found for the problem, and the experiment was left on December 7 in open loop mode with maximum seismic output.

The experiment continued to gather useful data until March 15, 1974, when the heating mechanism began to malfunction, making it impossible to accurately maintain the stabilized operating temperature necessary for useful data acquisition. However, the heating system regained normal operation on April 20.

Maryland's Univac 1108 computer system. No large scale data reduction could be performed within the limits of the experiment's budget, because the 1108 computing costs were about \$500 per day's data. A Digital Equipment Corporation PDP-11/40 computer system was acquired to decommutate the data from the source tapes, and for subsequent data analysis.

Financial support for the project came to an end on December 31, 1974. A greatly reduced scale of data analysis was continued using the PDP computer until its return was required by NASA on May 15, 1976, after which some work was continued on a facility owned by the Department of Electrical Engineering. On June 30, 1977, the gravimeter was shut down along with the other ALSEP experiments owing to deterioration of the power generator and other demands on the tracking network.

METHODS OF DATA ANALYSIS

Data from the experiment were sent by telemetry from the ALSEP central station to one of several tracking stations on the Earth. Each tracking station would record the data from all of the ALSEP experiments onto an analogue range tape, which was then sent to a central processing center in Houston, Texas, where a digital tape was prepared containing sixteen thirty-six word logical records.

Each logical record provided in packed format thirty-one seismic channel voltage readings separated by .01887 seconds (except for the first reading in each record which was omitted to allow for synchronization information). Also included was one free modes channel reading, and one tidal (integrator output) reading, each representing a time average over .604 seconds. Data were transmitted giving the instrument temperature, and the reading of a clock located at the receiving earth tracking station which gave the elapsed time in milliseconds from January 1 of the current year. These data tapes were sent via air mail to the University of Maryland, where further data processing was to be done.

Considerable difficulty was at first experienced in reading the tapes on Maryland's Univac 1108 computer system, so it became necessary to check the data for proper time increment between records and stable experiment status, tide, and temperature readings.

Each data word was supplied in ten bit format, representing logarithmically the entire voltage range of each measurement. The seismic data were analyzed by filtering and Fourier analysis to detect significant movement of the sensor. The response curve to a typical narrow band filter used to separate the resonant frequency of the sensor from background noise is shown in Figure 7. Free modes data also were Fourier analyzed with the resultant

power spectral density records being added together to improve the probability of detecting persistent periodicities in the free mode signal.

By early 1974 the new PDP 11 system was operating, and the quality of the packed data tapes had improved to a point that much of the error checking was no longer necessary. The seismic data were extracted and written on nine track tapes in 2048 sixteen bit word records each containing time information, and the free modes datawere written onto disk for later processing. Additional programs were written to: a) read a record of seismic data, b) plot a power spectral density over the 2048 points, c) filter the data with any desired bandwith. Capabilities existed to scan several records of data for signs of seismic activity, "freeze" a particular record on the screen, determine the location and amplitude of the largest or any selected spectral peak, change the gain or filtering limits of the display, or to see a particular time series of data in slow motion.

00000000000000000000000000000000000000	\$\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	10000000000000000000000000000000000000	1505056570 140000000 100505050505 505677566 505620505 505956571	771620ECE 595056571 695056571 4990909090 1450505959 14505059595	6200350506 6200350505 0505677564 7964630505 0595056571 040030000 640505050505	505056571 505050505 505677567 505677567 571623505	1505677562 1644642505 505056571 400000000 305050505 505707568	2208) 2256) 2256) 2256) 2400) 4400)
.565050505050505050505050505050505050505	1000006670 1400006670 57.505670505 57.56660555 766600555 766600555 1505056570 1505056570	5756565 575665 575	700505050505070505050505050505050505050	14005056571 1400000000000000000000000000000000000	\$0505657570 \$6665630505 \$0505056570 \$04005056570 \$0505056575 \$0505657566 \$7163710505 \$0505656666666666666666666666666666	24000000000000000000000000000000000000	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	1872 1922 1923 1923 1963 2016 2016 2016 2016 2016 2016 2016 2016
	35 3563767) 706664;595 9445956576 7485956576 7485956576576 95576637565 95576637565 95576637565 95576637564 97165959595	**4***********************************	7 ° 62 7 ° 0 E ° 5 0 5 0 5 0 5 6 5 7 ° 6 6 6 0 5 0 5 0 5 0 5 0 6 6 0 5 0 5 0 5 0 5 0 5 0 5 0 5 6 4 7 5 6 4 6 7 6 3 6 2 0 5 0 5 0 5 0 5 0 5 0 5 0 5 0 6 6 7 5 6 5 7 5 0 6 9 5 0 5 6 5 7 7 0 6 9 5 0 5 6 5 7 7 0 6 9 5 0 5 6 5 7 7 0 6 9 6 0 7 0 0 0 0 0 0	04 130 05 05 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16	14000000000000000000000000000000000000	7165696595 0505056570 049309339 0505647565 06567630595 06667630595 06667630595 059565750565 0496939390 0496939595	610505050505050505050505647560565670505656705050505050505050505050	1200) 1296) 1296) 1344) 1392) 1440) 1488) 1536) 1584) 1584) 1680) 1728)
	1 3 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	1 HR L E 3115276521112 050505057561 656770600505 05050505050505050505050505050505	- 10 3, G G G, G G	0.301113136 0.5050505050506 0.400000000 77105050505050 0.40000000 0.505050505050 0.505050505050 0.50505050	648 Y TES (WARE OF 15) A CONTROL OF 15) A CONT	215q ⁰ 2 751376000000 0505050555565 66709505055565 656771623505 050505056567 0505056567 050505656567 050505617563 657064050505056567 05050505656567 05050505656567 05050505656566 0505050565657505 6567706435050505 050505056566 05050505656566 05050505	RECORD RECORD RECORD RECORD ROUDOUDOUDOUDOUDOUDOUDOUDOUDOUDOUDOUDOUDO	1194 1194
5 6 21 - 5 4 6 6 5 2 6 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0) 1585-501-561 20002402025	(505)5/5/5/5/5/5/600000000000000000000000	© 600505353535 000000000000 000000000000	[2] 12 15 0505050505050500000000000000000000	₽ € G O N N 16 E E Y, T E S 3 C 3 C 3 C 3 C 3 C 3 C 3 C 3 C 3 C 3	3 1 1 3 LK S F & 9 LENGTH & 972127371224 00000000000000000000000000000000000	APO-17 ON MOY NF 3 FL POY NF 3	INPUT TAPE DATA INPUT FILE (48) (48) (96) (144)

		INPUT RETRIES #RECS. TOTAL# 0	SKARY HORT UNDEF.	READ ERROR SURM ZERO B S	MAX. SIZE PER 8073	ATA RECORDS INPUT 62	.E INPUT D RECS. 52 62	F11.
) 1 1)))			05057505271	6050505050	075
005/505161 6659535161	241/20//24	130/401/23 005050505	3050505113	005050505050	1110000011	06271130050	070610203	0704
162711300505	050706102334	050575051424	505050505050	1610505050 :0505050	>050661625 >05050511	3532050505050 05050505050	11100000215	0.00 0.00 0.00
5.505.50	011:00((1:	5120505050	5057505232	5050505050	5050505050	05052324310	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	0260
5050505170	5050505050	010000010	5310505050	5720772052	1057535161	72417237724	1507451723	0512
5.50666565	5050505050	5050505312	5050505050	01100000110	0202020201	13242734062	241623140	0464
2050627120	0322212653	5057505063	5050505050	1350505050	5050661625	320505050	5050505215	0416
	014000777	5300633121	4142706223	5241305252	1053016371	05057505062	505050505	0368
/120550122 5555555555	55116U6211 565363000	555 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55	3050505303	3 6 3930505050	13000010	2332207122	122310120	0320
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0131698 1	6271139958 53 57 838179	5070808080808	0107888147 3050505050	> 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	4.101.35.35.05.0 0505850505050	3 3 5 6 5 6 5 6 5 6 5 6 5 6 5 6 5 6 5 6	0272
5320585050	5050505215	5050505050	1110000021	53155355555555555555555555555555555555	0/24//2052 = f = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0	4 100/00161	# <i>711671</i> T#3	4000
1507451723	5050666565	5050505050	5050505311	505050505	0110300021	11050505050	5242734962	0128
4241623140	2050627125	0322212053	5057505063	50505050 5 0	1050808080	05050661625	2050505050	0.080
14/0000000 365650505016	30000000000000000000000000000000000000	01400000000000000000000000000000000000	505050505050505050	3241512061	0626000001	05057505050	5050505050	0.032
356156112 75606112	505u660F65 5050507160	50505050505050505050505050505050505050	5055505210 5050505155	50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 5	007000000000000000000000000000000000000	05057505050	5114005050	9.84
14705.5050	5052527162	ទីពីទី១ទី១ទី០ទី០	505050505122	5050505050	0076304001	0505/5050	5 1 3 4 6 6 5 6 5 6 5 6 5 6 5 6 5 6 5 6 5 6 5	0 M 0 M
5056156152	5056660565	5050505050	5050505210	5050505050	0000000200	05057505050	050505050	ლი 6 ფონ
5 - 3 - 7 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	30000000000000000000000000000000000000	5950505050	5050505170	50505050	0070000001	23140505050	5161305212	192
505650505050	01500000001 1051603 51	47.5500000000000000000000000000000000000	1722172425311	0001000141 0745170477	2020233333555 50505666566	25563205050	50505050505055	744
5056505759	5050505210	5050505050	0110000001	6303116231	5242/12052	16251235371	3 Z D U D U D U D Z 3 D E D E E E E E E E E) U
5057505302	5050505050	1470505050	5052527162	5050505050	5050505122	05050505050	1130000001	009
5555666641 55756555	74665905 F	50561566 50561566	5350660565	50505050505050	5050505210	05050505050	00000000000	552
5.505.5212	50505050505	0126669000	505750505050	303 120505050 50515666 9 50	0433874178 8149794399	05053561615	705050505050	504
5/5/5/5/5/112	505050506	007000001	5312410050	40527120 61	1053225953	05057505301 05067676767	0 050 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50	4 5 7 7 7
3361231650	5053571552	7.50505050	5050505212	5050505050	0120012001	05057575050	5050505050	360
00000000000000000000000000000000000000	-120011010 505-66-661	505050505050	5050505112	5050505050	0070012001	31053124100	5057505141	312
100 - 100 -	425311-161	5.552561618 6062644.00	7050505050 7050505050	5050505212 505050505013	505050505050 505050505050	001000000000	3 0 5 7 5 0 5 0 5 0	264
505050505050	3242531300	5050660562	505050505	5050505210	5050505050	00070000000	50575050505	166 20 4 20 4
ರವರ್ಷದ ಬರ್ಜನ ಕಾರ್ಮಾನ್ ಸ್ಥಾರ್ಗಳ ಕಾರ್ಮಾನ್ ಸ್ಥಾರ್ಗಳ	5313061050	5050660567	5050505050	5050505210	5050505050	00070012001	5057505050	120
3120527123	50575056 1080808060	50505050505 808140440	4233551610 505050505050	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	50000000000000000000000000000000000000	000709091	2272305050	072
6113400601	ភពិទីខុម្មពិទីឧទ	4231024111	002000000	5050505050	00100000001	750505050505050	0016096909 808080808	9 7 6
	001060601	1062731050	1053124053	7242205122	6233130051	16242305252	7720524253	(X) 1 (X) 1 (X) 0
10 90 01 01 02 02 02 03 03 03 03 03 03 03 03 03 03 03 03 03	7451312-63	6232431151	1053612310	5953505062	5050505050	00140359551	5 រ ទ	80
0 10 4 / / 0 10 6 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7	0072700100 007270355	0101001101 5055565101	5050505050505050	003000000	5050535050	00010000001	5560650077	3 2
in the common of	303555553 3333456752	350000000000 4141601108	30 10 20 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30	11111111111111111111111111111111111111	00605050000000	23131621120	505050500	784
416331205	534162121	472711274 6 - E - E - E - E - E - E - E - E - E -		1160210050	2110801163	11123104162	にひひひなひとしてい	0
		, , , , , , , , , , , ,	20202027	1303000000		000000000000000000000000000000000000000	7) M
化重化性化性化 群 化	0.51506(40)	3724585950 4253114247	711222122551 050535052185	75050505050505	001105000011	750505050505	0001000000011	8688) 8748)

STOP TIME 10/23/80 09:15:33 START TIME 10/23/80 09:14:48

35

DUMP STOPPED AFTER FILE

FO3

9 OF PERMANENT READ ERRORS